

Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure

A Theory of Tokenomic Market Mechanics

LASTSHIFT Working Paper v1.0

Author: KT, Founder of LASTSHIFT.AI

July 2026

Abstract

This paper introduces **Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure (ADP)**, a theory of tokenomic market mechanics describing the compounding effect of three simultaneous forces acting on a fixed-supply digital asset: (1) temporally concentrated buy-side demand, (2) temporally distributed sell-side supply, and (3) monotonic, irreversible supply contraction through programmatic destruction. We argue that ADP produces a structural price asymmetry that is mechanically favorable to holders over time, independent of speculative sentiment. We present LASTBURN, a Solana-based weekly community burn pool, as the first reference implementation of ADP and formalize the per-unit mathematics governing its market impact.

Keywords: Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure, ADP, token burn, deflationary mechanics, Solana, tokenomics, LASTBURN, LASTSHIFT, supply contraction, TWAP, market microstructure

1. Introduction

The cryptocurrency ecosystem has produced a wide range of supply-management mechanisms: inflationary emission schedules, buyback-and-burn programs, fee-destruction models, and manual treasury burns. Each addresses the relationship between circulating supply and market price from a different angle. Most existing literature treats these mechanisms as variations of a single principle: reduce supply to increase scarcity.

This framing is incomplete. It accounts for the supply side of the equation but ignores the temporal structure of demand and selling activity. A burn that removes 25% of supply annually has a different market impact depending on whether the corresponding buy and sell pressure is distributed evenly across time or concentrated asymmetrically.

This paper introduces Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure (ADP) as a distinct theory of tokenomic market mechanics. ADP describes a system in which three forces act simultaneously and compound over time:

Force 1: Concentrated buy-side demand. The act of participating in the mechanism requires a market purchase of the underlying token at a discrete point in time, producing concentrated upward price pressure.

Force 2: Distributed sell-side supply. The mechanism's sell-side activity (conversion of tokens to an alternative asset for redistribution) is executed via time-weighted micro-transactions spread across an extended period, producing smoothed and diluted downward price pressure.

Force 3: Monotonic supply contraction. A fixed percentage of every unit entering the mechanism is permanently destroyed, producing irreversible supply reduction that compounds with each cycle regardless of market conditions.

We argue that the interaction of these three forces produces a structural asymmetry in the price impact of participation, distinct from and additive to the simple scarcity effect described by existing deflationary models.

2. Background and Prior Work

2.1 Classical Supply-Demand Theory

The foundational economic principle underlying all token burn mechanisms is the law of supply and demand, formalized by Alfred Marshall (1890): when supply decreases and demand remains constant or increases, price rises. This principle is necessary but insufficient for understanding the market behavior of programmatic burn systems, as it does not account for the temporal distribution of supply and demand events.

2.2 Buyback-and-Burn

The buyback-and-burn model, analogous to stock repurchase programs in traditional equity markets, uses project revenue or treasury assets to purchase the native token on the open market and permanently remove it from circulation. Notable implementations include BNB quarterly auto-burns (Binance, 2017-present) and Ethereum's EIP-1559 fee-burning mechanism (2021-present). Carvalho (2023) frames buyback-and-burn as the token-native counterpart to stock buybacks, emphasizing its role as a value-accrual path for governance and utility tokens.

Distinction from ADP: Buyback-and-burn is revenue-funded. The project generates income and uses it to repurchase tokens. ADP, as implemented in LASTBURN, is community-funded. The buy pressure originates from community participation, not project revenue. This distinction changes the demand profile fundamentally: buyback-and-burn produces scheduled, predictable buy events; ADP produces stochastic, participation-driven buy events that are temporally concentrated by design.

2.3 TWAP Execution in Traditional Finance

Time-Weighted Average Price (TWAP) algorithms are well-established in institutional trading. They distribute large orders into equal increments executed at fixed intervals to minimize market impact (Berkowitz et al., 1988). VWAP algorithms serve a similar function by distributing execution proportional to historical volume patterns.

Application to ADP: In traditional finance, TWAP is a trade execution strategy used to minimize impact. In ADP, the temporal distribution of sell-side activity is a structural design feature of the mechanism itself. The asymmetry between concentrated buying and distributed selling is not incidental; it is the core mechanic that produces the favorable price structure.

2.4 Scarcity and Engineered Deflation

The concept of engineered scarcity through programmatic supply reduction is well-documented in cryptocurrency economics. Bitcoin's halving mechanism (Nakamoto, 2008) established the precedent for predictable, scheduled supply reduction as a price-relevant event. Subsequent implementations have varied in approach but share the same underlying logic: reducing available supply against constant or growing demand produces upward price pressure.

Limitation of existing models: Prior work treats supply reduction as a singular force. ADP identifies supply reduction as one of three interacting forces and formalizes the compounding relationship between them.

3. Formal Definition of Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure

Definition. Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure (ADP) is a tokenomic market condition in which (a) buy-side demand is temporally concentrated at discrete participation events, (b) sell-side supply is temporally distributed across extended periods via algorithmic execution, and (c) a fixed proportion of each unit entering the system is permanently destroyed. The interaction of these three forces produces a net positive price impact per unit of participation that compounds with each cycle.

ADP is distinct from simple deflation (which addresses only supply reduction), from buyback-and-burn (which is revenue-funded rather than participation-funded), and from market-making strategies (which seek to minimize rather than exploit temporal asymmetry).

3.1 The Three Forces

Force 1: Concentrated Buy Demand (CBD). When a participant enters the mechanism, they must acquire the underlying token at the prevailing market price. This acquisition occurs at a discrete point in time and produces immediate, concentrated upward price pressure proportional to the size of the acquisition relative to the prevailing liquidity.

Force 2: Distributed Sell Supply (DSS). The mechanism's sell-side activity, the conversion of pooled tokens to an alternative asset for redistribution, is executed via time-weighted micro-

swaps distributed across the full cycle period (e.g., one week). Each individual micro-swap is small relative to the prevailing liquidity, producing minimal downward price impact per event. The aggregate sell pressure over the full cycle equals the redistributed portion of the pool, but its temporal distribution dilutes the per-moment impact.

Force 3: Monotonic Supply Contraction (MSC). A fixed percentage (the burn rate, denoted b) of each unit entering the mechanism is sent to an irreversible destruction address. This destruction is unconditional: it executes regardless of price, volume, sentiment, or market conditions. The cumulative effect is a monotonically decreasing supply curve that accelerates with participation volume.

4. Mathematical Framework

Let P denote the prevailing market price of the underlying token in USD. Let D denote a single unit of participation (donation) valued at \$1 USD. Each donation produces the following token flows:

Flow	Tokens	Market Effect	Timing
User buys from market	$1 / P$	+\$1.00 buy pressure	Concentrated (instant)
Burned at cycle-close	$0.25 / P$	Supply removed permanently	Cycle-close (weekly)
Sold via TWAP for redistribution	$0.70 / P$	-\$0.70 sell pressure	Distributed (7 days)
Allocated to operations	$0.05 / P$	Neutral (held)	Cycle-close

Net market impact per \$1 participation:

Buy pressure: **+\$1.00** (concentrated)

Sell pressure: **-\$0.70** (distributed across 7 days = $-\$0.10/\text{day}$)

Net pressure: **+\$0.30** net buy + $0.25/P$ tokens destroyed permanently

The daily sell-side impact of $-\$0.10$ per \$1 donated is 10x smaller than the instantaneous buy-side impact of \$1.00. This 10:1 ratio of buy concentration to sell distribution is the structural asymmetry that defines ADP.

4.1 Compounding Over Time

Let N represent the number of donations per week and W represent the number of weeks elapsed. The cumulative effects are:

Cumulative buy pressure: $N \times W \times \$1.00$ (concentrated across $N \times W$ discrete events)

Cumulative sell pressure: $N \times W \times \$0.70$ (distributed across $W \times 7$ days = $7W$ trading days)

Cumulative supply destroyed: $N \times W \times 0.25 / P$ tokens (irreversible, monotonically increasing)

As W increases, the cumulative supply destruction reduces the denominator P in the burn calculation, meaning each subsequent cycle destroys fewer tokens in absolute terms but at a higher per-token cost. This creates a virtuous cycle: as price increases due to ADP, each burn removes less absolute supply but each remaining token is worth more. The deflationary floor rises with price.

4.2 The Asymmetry Ratio

Definition. The ADP Asymmetry Ratio (AR) is defined as the ratio of instantaneous buy-side impact to per-unit-time sell-side impact:

$$AR = (\text{Buy pressure per event}) / (\text{Sell pressure per unit time})$$

For LASTBURN: $AR = \$1.00 / (\$0.70 / 7 \text{ days}) = \$1.00 / \$0.10 = 10.0$

An AR greater than 1.0 indicates that buy-side events produce larger instantaneous price impact than sell-side events produce per unit time. The higher the AR, the more structurally favorable the mechanism is to price appreciation. An AR of 10.0 means the buy impact at the moment of participation is 10x stronger than the sell impact at any given moment during the distribution period.

5. Predictable Cadence as Demand Signal

The third component of ADP that distinguishes it from ad hoc burn mechanisms is the predictable cadence of the cycle. In the LASTBURN implementation, the burn and redistribution execute every Friday at a fixed time (17:00 UTC). This predictability produces secondary market effects:

Narrative pressure. Each weekly burn is a verifiable on-chain event that produces organic content. The burn transaction, the redistribution transaction, and the supply reduction are all publicly visible on block explorers. Each cycle produces its own announcement without requiring marketing expenditure.

Anticipatory demand. Participants who intend to donate in a given cycle must acquire tokens before the cycle closes. This creates predictable pre-cycle buy pressure as participants position themselves for participation. The cadence transforms sporadic demand into rhythmic demand.

Social proof compounding. Each redistribution event produces a recipient who has received SOL in exchange for their participation. This recipient becomes an organic advocate for the mechanism, reducing customer acquisition costs to near zero over time.

6. Comparison with Existing Models

Dimension	Buyback-and-Burn	Fee Destruction	ADP (LASTBURN)
Funding source	Project revenue	Transaction fees	Community donations

Buy timing	Scheduled (quarterly/monthly)	Continuous (per-tx)	Stochastic, concentrated
Sell timing	N/A (burn only)	N/A (burn only)	Distributed (TWAP, 7 days)
Supply destruction	Variable	Variable	Fixed rate (25%)
Redistribution	None	None	Yes (70% to community)
Temporal asymmetry	Weak	None	Strong (AR = 10.0)
Cadence	Quarterly/Monthly	Continuous	Weekly
Community participation	Passive	Passive	Active (donation required)

ADP is distinguished from existing models primarily by the active community participation requirement and the temporal asymmetry between buy and sell events. In buyback-and-burn, holders are passive beneficiaries. In fee destruction, users are incidental participants. In ADP, community members are active agents whose participation directly produces the three compounding forces.

7. Limitations and Risk Factors

ADP is a theory of mechanical market forces, not a guarantee of price appreciation. Several limitations apply:

Demand dependency. ADP produces favorable price mechanics only when participation volume is sufficient to generate meaningful buy pressure relative to existing liquidity. In low-participation scenarios, the mechanical advantage may be insufficient to overcome exogenous selling pressure from unrelated market activity.

Liquidity constraints. Thin liquidity amplifies both buy and sell impacts. In extremely low-liquidity environments, the TWAP sell distribution may still produce noticeable downward price pressure despite temporal distribution.

External market forces. ADP operates within the broader cryptocurrency market, which is subject to macroeconomic conditions, regulatory events, and cross-asset correlation. The mechanical advantages of ADP can be overwhelmed by systemic market events.

Participation sustainability. The mechanism requires ongoing community participation to produce compounding effects. A decline in participation volume reduces all three forces proportionally.

***This paper does not constitute financial advice.** ADP describes mechanical forces, not guaranteed outcomes. Individual participation decisions should be made based on independent evaluation and risk tolerance.*

8. Reference Implementation: LASTBURN

LASTBURN is a Solana-based weekly community burn pool that serves as the first reference implementation of Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure. The implementation operates on the \$LASTSHFT token (SPL token, Solana mainnet, contract address: 5zHrdYRtUzjkQwnq6HkS6Vq7KCeEQPysmaUmwKqfFLqB).

Mechanism parameters:

Burn rate (b): 25% (2500 basis points)

Redistribution rate (r): 70% (7000 basis points)

Operations rate (o): 5% (500 basis points)

Cycle period: 7 days (weekly, closing Friday 17:00 UTC)

Sell distribution: continuous micro-swaps via Jupiter aggregator across the full cycle period

Randomness: on-chain verifiable random function (ORAO oracle)

Winner selection: proportional to number of donations (not dollar-weighted)

Trust model: The smart contract code is public (github.com/lastshiftcoin/lastburn).

Reproducible build verification via solana-verify confirms that the deployed bytecode matches the published source. Following a 30-60 day operational shakedown, upgrade authority will be renounced, rendering the contract mathematically immutable. At that point, no entity, including the original deployer, can modify the mechanism.

9. Conclusion

Asymmetric Deflationary Pressure represents a distinct category of tokenomic market mechanics that has not been formally identified or named in prior literature. While its constituent elements, supply reduction through burns, buy-sell timing asymmetry through TWAP execution, and predictable cadence-driven demand, each have precedent in existing financial theory and cryptocurrency practice, their deliberate combination into a single community-funded mechanism produces compounding effects that exceed the sum of their individual contributions.

The key insight of ADP is that the temporal structure of buying and selling matters as much as the volume. A dollar of buy pressure concentrated at a single moment produces a different market impact than a dollar of sell pressure distributed across seven days. This asymmetry, compounded by permanent supply destruction and predictable weekly cadence, creates a structural condition that is mechanically favorable to price appreciation over time.

LASTBURN demonstrates that ADP can be implemented as a trustless, community-funded, on-chain mechanism with no administrative discretion over outcomes. The theory and its reference implementation are offered as a contribution to the growing body of work on programmatic token economics and community-driven value accrual.

Thesis. Every unit of participation produces net positive buy pressure and permanently removes supply. The temporal asymmetry between concentrated buying and distributed selling creates a

structural price advantage that compounds with each cycle. The mechanism does not require promotion; the protocol promotes itself every Friday.

LASTSHIFT.AI

lastshiftcoin.com | lastburn.app | lastshift.app

Don't trust the team. Verify the burn.